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**Provision of No-Cost, Long-Acting Contraception and Teenage Pregnancy**

Gina M. Secura, 4th year undergraduate student, General Medicine Faculty, University of Cambridge,

Cambridge, UK

Email: g.secura@gmail.com

**BACKGROUND**

The rate of teenage pregnancy in the United States is higher than in other developed nations. Teenage births result in substantial costs, including public assistance, health care costs, and income losses due to lower educational attainment and reduced earning potential.

**METHODS**

The Contraceptive CHOICE Project was a large prospective cohort study designed to promote the use of long-acting, reversible contraceptive (LARC) methods to reduce unintended pregnancy in the St. Louis region. Participants were educated about reversible contraception, with an emphasis on the benefits of LARC methods, were

provided with their choice of reversible contraception at no cost, and were followed for 2 to 3 years. We analyzed pregnancy, birth, and induced-abortion rates among teenage girls and women 15 to 19 years of age in this cohort and compared them with those observed nationally among U.S. teens in the same age group.

**INTRODUCTION**

Although it has declined substantially over the past two decades, the pregnancy rate among girls and women 15 to 19 years of age remains a stubborn public health problem.

Each year, more than 600,000 teens become pregnant, and 3 in 10 teens will become pregnant before they reach 20 years of ag$e^{1,2}$.

Rates are higher among black and Hispanic teens, with 4 in 10 becoming pregnant by 20 years of age, as compared with 2 in 10 white teens.In addition to the negative health and social consequences borne by teenage mothers and their children, the national financial burden is substanti$a^{3}$ .
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